Council



Title of Report:	Pension Discretions Policy			
Report No:	COU/FH/15/013			
Report to and dates:	Cabinet		17 February 2015	
uutosi	Council		27 February 2015	
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Resources, Governance and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk			
Lead officer:	Karen Points Head of HR, Legal & Democratic Services Tel: 01284 757015 Email: karen.points@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	The Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) changed to a career average ('CARE' – career average revalued earnings) scheme in April 2014. The new pension regulations gave employers a number of discretionary options. We are required to agree and publish our decisions in relation to these discretions in a written Statement of Policy that has to be agreed by Full Council.			
Recommendation:	RECOMMENDED, that the Pension Discretions Policy, attached as Appendix A to Report COU/FH/15/013, be approved.			
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠			
Consultation:	Leadership Team, and Unison			
Alternative option(s): • A written policy is a statutory require but there are alternative options in reto each discretion			is a statutory requirement ternative options in relation	
Implications: Are there any financia	al implications?	Yes ⊠ No	П	
If yes, please give det	•	 As detailed in the Policy and Summary of Recommendations 		
Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details		Yes ⊠ No □ • As detailed in the Policy and Summary of Recommendations		

Are there any ICT implications? If		Yes □ No ⊠		
yes, please give details				
Are there any legal and/or policy		Yes ⊠ No □		
implications? If yes, please give		 Written Statement of Policy is a 		
details		statutory requirement; as is		
		approval by Full Council		
Are there any equality implications?		Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details				
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting		
	1 = -	corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent level of	Controls	Residual risk (after	
	risk (before		controls)	
Lack of written policy	controls) Medium	Approval of Policy	Risk removed	
breaches statutory	Mediairi	Approval of Folicy	RISK TETHOVEG	
requirements				
Dispute over the	Medium	Discretions clear	Low	
application of				
discretions Ward(s) affected	•	None directly		
Ward(s) affected:				
Background papers:		None		
(all background papers are to be				
published on the website and a link				
included)		<u> </u>		
Documents attached:		Appendix A: Pension Discretion Policy		
		Appendix B: Summary of		
		Recommendations		